

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,206	08/27/2003	Bing Lu	FPC-104C	5143
759	90 01/08/2004	EXAMINER		
Kenneth P. Gly Glynn & Associ			CHOI, LI	NG SIU
24 Mine Street	, 1101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Flemington, NJ	08822		1713	
			D. TT. M. W. W. D. D. 100.0000	_

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	2. *				[
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	•
	Office Action Summary	10/64	<b>19,2</b> 06	LU ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exam		Art Unit	
	The MAH ING DATE of this commu	-	Siu Choi	1713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears or	i the cover sheet w	ıtn tne correspondence add	aress
THE M - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUIT stons of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum to to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months dipartent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r amunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause the	to event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi nd will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on			
2a)⊟	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prac				merits is
Disposition	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the fa) Of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	<u>0</u> is/are withdrawn f			
Application	on Papers				
10)[ , ,	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the content drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	e: a) accepted on ection to the drawing( g the correction is red	s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	, ,
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a) □ * Se 13) □ Ao sin 37 a) 14) □ Ao	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office acticknowledgment is made of a claim ace a specific reference was included CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim serence was included in the first serence was included in the first ser	documents have to documents have to documents have to of the priority document (PCT If on for a list of the conformation domestic priority and in the first sentential for domestic priority for domestic priority for domestic priority	peen received. Deen received in A Deen received in	pplication No received in this National S received. § 119(e) (to a provisional a ation or in an Application E een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.
Attachment(:	s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Application is a Continuation-in-part of US Serial No. 09/973,396. Claims 1-18 of Group I will be considered due to the previous claim election without traverse

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: (a) claims 2-3, 5-6, and 8-18, "A process" is suggested to be changed to --The process--; (b) claim 1, line 5, "compound is" is suggested to be changed to --compound being--; and (c) claim 1, line 8, "compound represented" is suggested to be changed to --compound being represented--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 1- 10 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaus et al. (US 4,347,158).

The present invention is directed to an olefin polymerization catalyst containing

A	a solid catalyst component		
	copulverizing	(a) magnesium compound	
		(b) an aluminum compound	
		(c) an organic electron donor containing at least one atom [oxygen, silicon, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus atoms]	
		(d) a titanium compound	
В	an organoaluminum compound		

(summary of claim 1)

Kaus et al. et. a catalyst for olefin polymerization, the catalyst comprising (A) a catalyst component and (B) triethyl aluminum, wherein the catalyst component is prepared by the steps of (a) co-comminuting a magnesium chloride support base and aluminum trichloride, (b) co-comminuting anisole with the resulting product from step (a), (c) co-comminuting the resaulting product with ethyl benzoate, and (d) co-comminuting titanium tetrachloride with the resulting product from step (c) (Example 1-col. 10, line 35; claims 13-14). Kaus et al. further disclose that the preferred molar ratio of magnesium chloride to the magnesium compoiund is about 8 to 0.5-3.0; the molar ratio of magnesium chloride to ethyl benzoate is about 8-0.5-3.0; the molsar ratio

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

of magnesium chloride to titanium tetrachloride is about 8-0.1-1.0 (line 67 of col. 6 - line 2 of col. 7; col. 7, lines 39-43 and 52-56). Kaus et al. furthermore disclose that the molar ration of triethyl aluminum to titanium metal is 240/1 (col. 10, line 42). aus et al. also disclose that the polymerization of propylene is carried out at 70°C (col. 10, lines 44-45). Thus, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of Kaus et al..

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuno et al. (JP 54-122387)

Matsuno et al. disclose a catalyst comprising (A) a solid component and (B) an organometallic compound, wherein the solid component is prepared by <u>pulverizing</u> (a) a reaction product of **magnesium** oxide and **aluminum** trichloride, (b) an **ester**, and (c) a **titanium** compound (abstract). Thus, the present claim is anticipated by the disclosure of Matsunno et al...

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Art Unit: 1713

7. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaus et al.

Page 5

(US 4,347,158) in view of Lofgren et al. (US 4,673,661).

The disclosure of Kaus et al. is set forth in paragraph and is incorporated herein by

reference.

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Kaus et al. is the

requirement of the use of silane as an electron donor.

Lofgren et al. disclose an internal electron donor used in a Ziegler-Natta catalyst, the

internal electron donor being an ester or a silane compound, wherein ester can be ethyl benzoate

and the silane compound can be an alkylarylsilane (col. 2, lines 60-68 and col.3, lines 1-3). A

conclusion can then be drawn that the use of ethyl benzoate is equivalent to and interchangeable

with the use of alkylaryl as an internal electron donor. Thus, it wou; ld have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace an ester with an silane in the

disclosure of Kaus et al. with an expectation of success and thereby obtain the present invention.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is (703)305-0887.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David Wu, can be reached on (703)308-2450.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,206

Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

4-66-6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2351.

146 Chri

Ling-Siu Choi

December 27, 2003